

June 28, 2019
File No. 262018.063

Ms. Corina Forson
Chief Hazards Geologist
State of Washington
Department of Natural Resources
Washington Geological Survey
111 Washington Street SE
Olympia, Washington 98504

Mr. Scott Black
Program Development Manager
State of Washington
Office of Superintendent of Public Instruction
600 Washington Street
Olympia, Washington 98504

Subject: Department of Natural Resources Washington Geological Survey,
School Seismic Safety Assessment Project, Contract No. AE 410 -
Seismic Evaluation for **Centerville School District**

Dear Ms. Forson and Mr. Black:

Reid Middleton and our consultant team, under the direction of The Department of Natural Resources (DNR) Washington Geological Survey (WGS) School Seismic Safety Project, have conducted seismic evaluations of 222 school buildings and 5 fire stations throughout Washington State. This letter is transmitting the results of these seismic assessments for each school district that graciously participated in this statewide study. We understand that you will be forwarding this letter and the accompanying seismic screening reports to each school district for their reference and use.

Many disparate studies on improving the seismic safety of our public school buildings have been performed over the last several decades. Experts in building safety, geologic hazards, emergency management, education, and even the news media have been asserting for decades that seismic risks in older public school buildings represent a risk to our communities. The time to act is now, before we have a damaging earthquake and/or tsunami that could be catastrophic. This statewide school seismic safety assessment project provides a unique opportunity to draw attention to the need for statewide seismic safety policies and funding on behalf of all school districts that will help enable school districts to increase the seismic safety of their older buildings to make them safer for students, teachers, staff, parents, and the community.

It is not the intent of this study to create an unfunded mandate for school districts to seismically upgrade their schools without associated funding or statewide seismic safety policy support. The overall goal of this study was to screen and evaluate the current levels of seismic vulnerabilities of a statewide selection of our older public school buildings and to use the data and information to help quantify funding and policy needs to improve the seismic safety of our

EVERETT
728 134th Street SW
Suite 200
Everett, WA 98204
425 741-3800

www.reidmiddleton.com

public schools. In this process, we are using the information to inform not only the Governor and the Legislature of the policy and funding needs for seismically safe schools but also the school districts that participated in the study.

School Buildings Evaluated in the Centerville School District

We appreciate Centerville School District's participation and invaluable assistance in this statewide project. The following school district building was included as part of this study:

1. Centerville Elementary School, Main Building

The seismic screening of this building was performed using the American Society of Civil Engineers' Standard 41-17, *Seismic Evaluation and Retrofit of Existing Buildings* (ASCE 41-17), national standard Tier 1 structural and nonstructural seismic screening checklists specific to each building's structure type.

The WGS also conducted seismic site class assessments to measure the shear wave velocity and determine the soil site class at each campus. Site class is an approximation of how much soils at a site will amplify earthquake-induced ground motions and is a critical parameter used in seismic design. Reid Middleton subsequently used this information in their seismic screening analyses.

The following table is a list of available seismic assessment information used in our study:

School Building	Year Constructed	FEMA Building Classification	Structural Drawings Available for Review
Centerville Elementary School, Main Building	1919	Unreinforced Masonry Bearing Walls	No

Detailed descriptions of the seismic screening evaluations of this building can be found in the individual building reports and the ASCE 41-17 Tier 1 screening checklist documents enclosed with this letter. This information will also be available for download on the WGS website: <https://www.dnr.wa.gov/programs-and-services/geology/geologic-hazards/earthquakes-and-faults/school-seismic-safety>.

These Tier 1 seismic screening checklists are often the first step employed by structural engineers when trying to determine the seismic vulnerabilities of existing buildings and to begin a process of mitigating these seismic vulnerabilities. School district facilities management personnel and their design consultants should be able to take advantage of this information to help inform and address seismic risks in existing or future renovation, repair, or modernization projects.

It is important to note that information used for these school seismic screenings was limited to available construction drawings and limited site observations by our team of licensed structural engineers to observe the general conditions and configuration of each building being seismically screened. In many cases, construction drawings were not available for review as noted in the table above. Due to the limited scope of the study, our team of engineers were not able to perform more-detailed investigations above ceilings, behind wall finishes, in confined spaces, or in other areas obstructed from view. Where building component seismic adequacy was unknown due to lack of available information, the unknown conditions were indicated as such on the ASCE 41-17 Tier 1 checklists. Additional field investigations are recommended for the “unknown” seismic evaluation checklist items if more-definitive determinations of seismic safety compliance and further development of seismic mitigation strategies are desired.

Nonstructural Seismic Screening

The enclosed ASCE 41-17 Tier 1 Nonstructural Seismic Screening checklists can provide immediate guidance on seismic deficiencies in nonstructural elements. Mitigating the risk of earthquake impacts from these nonstructural elements should be addressed as soon as practical by school districts. Some nonstructural elements may be easily mitigated by installing seismic bracing of tall cabinets, moving heavy contents to the bottom of shelving, and adding seismic strapping or bracing to water tanks and overhead elements (light fixtures, mechanical units, piping, fire protection systems, etc.).

It is often most economical to mitigate nonstructural seismic hazards when the building is already undergoing mechanical, electrical, plumbing, or architectural upgrades or modernizations. Enclosed with these nonstructural seismic screening checklists are excerpts from the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) publication E-74 entitled, *Reducing the Risks of Nonstructural Earthquake Damage* (FEMA E-74). We have included these FEMA publication excerpts to help illustrate typical seismic mitigation measures that can potentially be implemented by district facilities and maintenance personnel.

Structural Seismic Screening

The enclosed ASCE 41-17 Tier 1 Structural Seismic Screening checklists have evaluation statements that are reviewed for specific building elements and systems to determine if these items are seismically compliant, noncompliant, not applicable, or unknown. These evaluation statements provide guidance on which structural systems and elements have identified seismic deficiencies and should be investigated further. Further seismic evaluations beyond these seismic screening checklists typically consist of more-detailed seismic structural analyses to better define the seismic vulnerabilities and risks. This information is then used to determine cost-effective ways to seismically improve these buildings with stand-alone seismic upgrade projects or incrementally as part of other ongoing building maintenance, repair, or modernization projects. Consequently, implementing seismic structural mitigation strategies typically requires that they be developed as a part of longer-term capital improvements and modernization programs developed by the school district and their design consultants.

Next Steps

Due to the screening nature of the ASCE 41-17 Tier 1 procedures, an in-depth seismic evaluation and analysis of these buildings may be needed before detailed seismic upgrades or improvements, conceptual designs, and probable construction cost estimates are developed.

If you have any questions or comments regarding the engineering reports or would like to discuss this further, please contact us.

Sincerely,



David B. Swanson, P.E., S.E.
Principal, LEED AP, F.SEI




Limitations

The professional services described in this document were performed based on available information and limited visual observation of the structures. No other warranty is made as to the professional advice included in this document. This document has been prepared for the exclusive use of the Department of Natural Resources, the Office of the Superintendent of Public Instruction, and this school district and is not intended for use by other parties, as it may not contain sufficient information for other parties' purposes or their uses.

1. Centerville, Centerville Elementary School, Main Building

1.1 Building Description

Building Name:	Main Building
Facility Name:	Centerville Elementary School
District Name:	Centerville
ICOS Latitude:	45.752
ICOS Longitude:	-120.9
ICOS County/District ID:	20215
ICOS Building ID:	13799
ASCE 41 Bldg Type:	URM
Enrollment:	82
Gross Sq. Ft. :	16,188
Year Built:	1919
Number of Stories:	2
S _{XS} BSE-2E:	0.383
S _{X1} BSE-2E:	0.241
ASCE 41 Level of Seismicity:	High
Site Class:	C
V _{S30} (m/s):	412
Liquefaction Potential:	Very Low
Tsunami Risk:	None
Structural Drawings Available:	No
Evaluating Firm:	WRK Engineers



The Centerville Elementary School main building is a two-story unreinforced masonry structure. The 1919 building is constructed on level ground and is located in Centerville, Washington. The building is roughly 120 feet by 100 feet in plan with a maximum roof height of around 24 feet. Building construction consists of unreinforced brick walls. The roof and floor systems are flexible diaphragms composed of straight sheathing over wood joists. The building shares the site with two small shop buildings, a playground, and a 30-foot-tall chimney.

1.1.1 Building Use

The main building includes classrooms, a kitchen, a library, a gymnasium, and administrative offices. The school has over 80 student occupants and staff.

1.1.2 Structural System

Table 1.1-1. Structural System Description of Centerville Elementary School

Structural System	Description
Structural Roof	The roof system is composed of straight sheathing over wood framing.
Structural Floor(s)	The floor system is composed of straight sheathing over wood framing.
Foundations	The exterior walls are supported by continuous wall footings.
Gravity System	The gravity system consists of unreinforced masonry walls and wood stud infill walls.
Lateral System	Unreinforced masonry walls resist the forces in the longitudinal and transverse directions.

1.1.3 Structural System Visual Condition

Table 1.1-2. Structural System Condition Description of Centerville Elementary School

Structural System	Description
Structural Roof	Ceiling finishes show evidence of water damage.
Structural Floor(s)	No visible signs of corrosion, damage, or deterioration.
Foundations	Unknown.
Gravity System	Corrosion and cracking visible on exterior walls. Cracking visible on interior wall finishes.
Lateral System	Corrosion and cracking visible on exterior URM walls.

1.2 Seismic Evaluation Findings

1.2.1 Structural Seismic Deficiencies

The structural seismic deficiencies identified during the Tier 1 evaluation are summarized below. Commentary for each deficiency is also provided based on this evaluation.

Table 1-3. Identified Structural Seismic Deficiencies for Centerville Centerville Elementary School Main Building

Deficiency	Description
Load Path	There are no construction drawings and a viable load path, including connections, between the diaphragm, vertical resisting elements, and the foundation is not apparent. This item is likely non-compliant due to the building's age and construction type. Further investigation is required to make a final determination.
Vertical Irregularities	Wall at northeast corner has large wood infill at level 1. It is unclear if infill is tied into structure for shear transfer. Due to this and the condition of the building, it is likely inadequate for transferring the in-plane and overturning forces to the foundation. Further investigation is required. The addition of a new shear wall and hold-downs may be appropriate.
Overturning	Ratio of least horizontal dimension of seismic-force-resisting system to building height is greater than 0.6Sa. Additional overturning resistance, such as new footings and hold-downs, may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk. Further investigation is required.
Shear Stress Check	Pseudo shear stress is approximately 85 psi. Building likely requires in-plane shear strengthening (i.e. adding concrete or CMU shear walls). Further investigation is required.
Wall Anchorage	Out-of-plane wall anchorage is not present. Tension ties, blocking, strapping, and diaphragm nailing required along the unreinforced masonry walls.
Wood Ledgers	This item is likely non-compliant due to the building's age, but it could not be visually verified. This item requires further investigation to make a final determination. Strengthening of connections, such as adding blocking and straps, may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
Transfer to Shear Walls	This item is likely non-compliant due to the building's age, but could not be visually verified. This item requires further investigation to make a final determination. Direct, structural connections, such as post-installed anchors, between the diaphragm and unreinforced masonry shear walls or the addition of new CMU/concrete shear walls may be appropriate.
Girder-Column Connection	This item is likely non-compliant due to the building's age, but could not be visually verified. This item requires further investigation to make a final determination. The addition of positive connections using plates, straps, or hardware between girders and columns may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
Proportions	The height-to-thickness ratio of the URM shear walls at the upper story is greater than nine (12-foot height, 8-inch thickness). URM out-of-plane strengthening through steel strongbacks is likely required. Further investigation is required.
Cross Ties	This evaluation item is unknown and is likely non-compliant due to the building's age, but could not be visually verified. Diaphragm strengthening through the addition of new cross ties between diaphragm chords or the addition of strap plates to connect existing roof framing members together may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
Straight Sheathing	Straight-sheathed diaphragms have aspect ratios greater than 2-to-1. Diaphragm strengthening, including the addition of wood structural panel sheathing, may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
Spans	Wood diaphragms do not consist of wood structural panels or diagonal sheathing. Installation of wood structural panels may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
Beam	Girder, and Truss Supports, Beams, girders, and trusses supported by URM walls or pilasters do not have independent secondary columns for support of vertical loads. The addition of secondary support is required.

1.2.2 Structural Checklist Items Marked as 'Unknown'

Where building structural component seismic adequacy was unknown due to lack of available information or limited observation, the structural checklist items were marked as “unknown”. These items require further investigation if definitive determination of compliance or noncompliance is desired. The unknown structural checklist items identified during the Tier 1 evaluation are summarized below. Commentary for each unknown item is also provided based on the evaluation.

Table 1-4. Identified Structural Checklist Items Marked as Unknown for Centerville Centerville Elementary School Main Building

Unknown Item	Description
Liquefaction	The liquefaction potential of site soils is unknown at this time given available information. Very low liquefaction potential is identified per ICOS based on state geologic mapping. Requires further investigation by a licensed geotechnical engineer to determine liquefaction potential.
Slope Failure	Requires further investigation by a licensed geotechnical engineer to determine susceptibility to slope failure.
Surface Fault Rupture	Requires further investigation by a licensed geotechnical engineer to determine whether site is near locations of expected surface fault ruptures.
Masonry Layup	This evaluation item is unknown and cannot be visually verified. This item requires further field investigation to make a final determination on its compliance and to develop a mitigation recommendation, if necessary.
Stiffness of Wall Anchors	This evaluation item is unknown and could not be visually verified. This item requires further field investigation to make a final determination on its compliance and to develop a mitigation recommendation, if necessary.

1.3.1 Nonstructural Seismic Deficiencies

The nonstructural seismic deficiencies identified during the Tier 1 evaluation are summarized below. Commentary for each deficiency is also provided based on this evaluation. Some nonstructural deficiencies may be able to be mitigated by school district staff. Other nonstructural components that require more substantial mitigation may be more appropriately included in a long-term mitigation strategy. Some typical conceptual details for the seismic upgrade of nonstructural components can be found in the FEMA E-74 Excerpts appendix.

Table 1-5. Identified Nonstructural Seismic Deficiencies for Centerville Centerville Elementary School Main Building

Deficiency	Description
PCOA-1 URM Parapets or Cornices. HR-LMH; LS-LMH; PR-LMH.	Unreinforced masonry parapet is laterally unsupported and has height-to-thickness ratio greater than 2.5. Installation of lateral braces for URM parapet is recommended.
MC-1 URM Chimneys. HR-LMH; LS-LMH; PR-LMH.	Masonry chimney near playground is approximately 20 feet away from building and could collapse on building. Requires further investigation and possibly demolition.
MC-2 Anchorage. HR-LMH; LS-LMH; PR-LMH.	Masonry chimney near playground
S-1 Stair Enclosures. HR-not required; LS-LMH; PR-LMH.	Unreinforced masonry walls around stair enclosure are not restrained for out-of-plane loading. URM should be strongbacked, FRP reinforced, or removed.
CF-2 Tall Narrow Contents. HR-not required; LS-H; PR-MH.	Anchorage is required for tall narrow contents more than six feet high to provide overturning restraint.
ME-3 Tall Narrow Equipment. HR-not required; LS-H; PR-MH.	Anchorage is required for tall narrow equipment more than six feet high to provide overturning restraint.

1.3.2 Nonstructural Checklist Items Marked as 'U'known

Where building nonstructural component seismic adequacy was unknown due to lack of available information or limited observation, the nonstructural checklist items were marked as “unknown”. These items require further investigation if definitive determination of compliance or noncompliance is desired. The unknown nonstructural checklist items identified during the Tier 1 evaluation are summarized below. Commentary for each unknown item is also provided based on the evaluation.

Some nonstructural deficiencies may be able to be mitigated by school district staff. Other nonstructural components that require more substantial mitigation may be more appropriately included in a long-term mitigation strategy. Some typical conceptual details for the seismic upgrade of nonstructural components can be found in the FEMA E-74 Excerpts appendix.

Table 1-6. Identified Nonstructural Checklist Items Marked as Unknown for Centerville Centerville Elementary School Main Building

Unknown Item	Description
C-1 Suspended Lath and Plaster. HR-H; LS-MH; PR-LMH.	Requires further investigation and selective demolition to investigate
C-2 Suspended Gypsum Board. HR-not required; LS-MH; PR-LMH.	Requires further investigation and selective demolition to investigate
LF-1 Independent Support. HR-not required; LS-MH; PR-MH.	Direct connection of support wires to structure could not be visually verified. Further investigation is required. Could be performed by district maintenance staff.
S-2 Stair Details. HR-not required; LS-LMH; PR-LMH.	Requires further investigation
ME-2 In-Line Equipment. HR-not required; LS-H; PR-H.	This evaluation item could not be visually verified. Further investigation is required to review vertical support and lateral bracing of equipment.

Photos:



Figure 1-1. Centerville School - South Exterior




Figure 1-2. Evidence of Water Damage to Ceiling Finishes



Figure 1-3. Multipurpose Room



Figure 1-4. Boiler Room with Unbraced Equipment



Figure 1-5. Centerville School - East Exterior



Figure 1-6. Typical Classroom with Unknown Light Fixture Bracing



Figure 1-7. Centerville School - East Exterior with Unbraced Masonry Chimney



Figure 1-8. Centerville School - West Exterior



Figure 1-9. Cracking in Wall Finishes



Figure 1-10. Kitchen - Unbraced Furnishing, Typical

Centerville, Centerville Elementary School, Main Building

17-2 Collapse Prevention Basic Configuration Checklist

Building record drawings have been reviewed, when available, and a non-destructive field investigation has been performed for the subject building. Each of the required checklist items are marked Compliant (C), Noncompliant (NC), Not Applicable (N/A), or Unknown (U). Items marked Compliant indicate conditions that satisfy the performance objective, whereas items marked Noncompliant or Unknown indicate conditions that do not. Certain statements might not apply to the building being evaluated.

Low Seismicity

Building System - General

EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	C	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
Load Path	The structure contains a complete, well-defined load path, including structural elements and connections, that serves to transfer the inertial forces associated with the mass of all elements of the building to the foundation. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.4.1.1; Commentary: Sec. A.2.1.10)		X			There are no construction drawings and a viable load path, including connections, between the diaphragm, vertical resisting elements, and the foundation is not apparent. This item is likely non-compliant due to the building's age and construction type. Further investigation is required to make a final determination.
Adjacent Buildings	The clear distance between the building being evaluated and any adjacent building is greater than 0.25% of the height of the shorter building in low seismicity, 0.5% in moderate seismicity, and 1.5% in high seismicity. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.4.1.2; Commentary: Sec. A.2.1.2)	X				
Mezzanines	Interior mezzanine levels are braced independently from the main structure or are anchored to the seismic-force-resisting elements of the main structure. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.4.1.3; Commentary: Sec. A.2.1.3)			X		No mezzanines

Building System - Building Configuration

EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	C	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
Weak Story	The sum of the shear strengths of the seismic-force-resisting system in any story in each direction is not less than 80% of the strength in the adjacent story above. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.4.2.1; Commentary: Sec. A.2.2.2)	X				

Soft Story	The stiffness of the seismic-force-resisting system in any story is not less than 70% of the seismic-force-resisting system stiffness in an adjacent story above or less than 80% of the average seismic-force-resisting system stiffness of the three stories above. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.4.2.2; Commentary: Sec. A.2.2.3)	X				
Vertical Irregularities	All vertical elements in the seismic-force-resisting system are continuous to the foundation. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.4.2.3; Commentary: Sec. A.2.2.4)		X			Wall at northeast corner has large wood infill at level 1. It is unclear if infill is tied into structure for shear transfer. Due to this and the condition of the building, it is likely inadequate for transferring the in-plane and overturning forces to the foundation. Further investigation is required. The addition of a new shear wall and hold-downs may be appropriate.
Geometry	There are no changes in the net horizontal dimension of the seismic-force-resisting system of more than 30% in a story relative to adjacent stories, excluding one-story penthouses and mezzanines. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.4.2.4; Commentary: Sec. A.2.2.5)	X				
Mass	There is no change in effective mass of more than 50% from one story to the next. Light roofs, penthouses, and mezzanines need not be considered. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.4.2.5; Commentary: Sec. A.2.2.6)	X				
Torsion	The estimated distance between the story center of mass and the story center of rigidity is less than 20% of the building width in either plan dimension. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.4.2.6; Commentary: Sec. A.2.2.7)	X				

Moderate Seismicity (Complete the Following Items in Addition to the Items for Low Seismicity)

Geologic Site Hazards

EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	C	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
Liquefaction	Liquefaction-susceptible, saturated, loose granular soils that could jeopardize the building's seismic performance do not exist in the foundation soils at depths within 50 ft (15.2 m) under the building. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.4.3.1; Commentary: Sec. A.6.1.1)				X	The liquefaction potential of site soils is unknown at this time given available information. Very low liquefaction potential is identified per ICOS based on state geologic mapping. Requires further investigation by a licensed geotechnical engineer to determine liquefaction potential.
Slope Failure	The building site is located away from potential earthquake-induced slope failures or rockfalls so that it is unaffected by such failures or is capable of accommodating any predicted movements without failure. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.4.3.1; Commentary: Sec. A.6.1.2)				X	Requires further investigation by a licensed geotechnical engineer to determine susceptibility to slope failure.
Surface Fault Rupture	Surface fault rupture and surface displacement at the building site are not anticipated. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.4.3.1; Commentary: Sec. A.6.1.3)				X	Requires further investigation by a licensed geotechnical engineer to determine whether site is near locations of expected surface fault ruptures.

High Seismicity (Complete the Following Items in Addition to the Items for Low and Moderate Seismicity)

Foundation Configuration

EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	C	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
Overtipping	The ratio of the least horizontal dimension of the seismic-force-resisting system at the foundation level to the building height (base/height) is greater than 0.6Sa. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.4.3.3; Commentary: Sec. A.6.2.1)		X			Ratio of least horizontal dimension of seismic-force-resisting system to building height is greater than 0.6Sa. Additional overturning resistance, such as new footings and hold-downs, may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk. Further investigation is required.
Ties Between Foundation Elements	The foundation has ties adequate to resist seismic forces where footings, piles, and piers are not restrained by beams, slabs, or soils classified as Site Class A, B, or C. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.4.3.4; Commentary: Sec. A.6.2.2)			X		

17-36 Collapse Prevention Structural Checklist for Building Types URM and URMa

Building record drawings have been reviewed, when available, and a non-destructive field investigation has been performed for the subject building. Each of the required checklist items are marked Compliant (C), Noncompliant (NC), Not Applicable (N/A), or Unknown (U). Items marked Compliant indicate conditions that satisfy the performance objective, whereas items marked Noncompliant or Unknown indicate conditions that do not. Certain statements might not apply to the building being evaluated.

Low and Moderate Seismicity

Seismic-Force-Resisting System

EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	C	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
Redundancy	The number of lines of shear walls in each principal direction is greater than or equal to 2. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.5.1.1; Commentary: Sec. A.3.2.1.1)	X				
Shear Stress Check	The shear stress in the unreinforced masonry shear walls, calculated using the Quick Check procedure of Section 4.4.3.3, is less than 30 lb/in.2 (0.21 MPa) for clay units and 70 lb/in.2 (0.48 MPa) for concrete units. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.5.3.1.1; Commentary: Sec. A.3.2.5.1)		X			Pseudo shear stress is approximately 85 psi. Building likely requires in-plane shear strengthening (i.e. adding concrete or CMU shear walls). Further investigation is required.

Connections

EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	C	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
Wall Anchorage	Exterior concrete or masonry walls that are dependent on the diaphragm for lateral support are anchored for out-of-plane forces at each diaphragm level with steel anchors, reinforcing dowels, or straps that are developed into the diaphragm. Connections have strength to resist the connection force calculated in the Quick Check procedure of Section 4.4.3.7. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.7.1.1; Commentary: Sec. A.5.1.1)		X			Out-of-plane wall anchorage is not present. Tension ties, blocking, strapping, and diaphragm nailing required along the unreinforced masonry walls.
Wood Ledgers	The connection between the wall panels and the diaphragm does not induce cross-grain bending or tension in the wood ledgers. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.7.1.3; Commentary: Sec. A.5.1.2)		X			This item is likely non-compliant due to the building's age, but it could not be visually verified. This item requires further investigation to make a final determination. Strengthening of connections, such as adding blocking and straps, may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.

Transfer to Shear Walls	Diaphragms are connected for transfer of seismic forces to the shear walls. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.7.2; Commentary: Sec. A.5.2.1)		X			This item is likely non-compliant due to the building's age, but could not be visually verified. This item requires further investigation to make a final determination. Direct, structural connections, such as post-installed anchors, between the diaphragm and unreinforced masonry shear walls or the addition of new CMU/concrete shear walls may be appropriate.
Girder-Column Connection	There is a positive connection using plates, connection hardware, or straps between the girder and the column support. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.7.4.1; Commentary: Sec. A.5.4.1)		X			This item is likely non-compliant due to the building's age, but could not be visually verified. This item requires further investigation to make a final determination. The addition of positive connections using plates, straps, or hardware between girders and columns may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.

High Seismicity (Complete the Following Items in Addition to the Items for Low and Moderate Seismicity)

Seismic-Force-Resisting System

EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	C	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
Proportions	The height-to-thickness ratio of the shear walls at each story is less than the following: Top story of multi-story building – 9; First story of multi-story building – 15; All other conditions – 13. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.5.3.1.2; Commentary: Sec. A.3.2.5.2)		X			The height-to-thickness ratio of the URM shear walls at the upper story is greater than nine (12-foot height, 8-inch thickness). URM out-of-plane strengthening through steel strongbacks is likely required. Further investigation is required.
Masonry Layup	Filled collar joints of multi-wythe masonry walls have negligible voids. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.5.3.4.1; Commentary: Sec. A.3.2.5.3)				X	This evaluation item is unknown and cannot be visually verified. This item requires further field investigation to make a final determination on its compliance and to develop a mitigation recommendation, if necessary.

Diaphragms (Stiff or Flexible)

EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	C	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
Openings at Shear Walls	Diaphragm openings immediately adjacent to the shear walls are less than 25% of the wall length. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.6.1.3; Commentary: Sec. A.4.1.4)			X		
Openings at Exterior Masonry Shear Walls	Diaphragm openings immediately adjacent to exterior masonry shear walls are not greater than 8 ft (2.4 m) long. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.6.1.3; Commentary: Sec. A.4.1.6)			X		

Flexible Diaphragms

EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	C	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
Cross Ties	There are continuous cross ties between diaphragm chords. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.6.1.2; Commentary: Sec. A.4.1.2)		X			This evaluation item is unknown and is likely non-compliant due to the building's age, but could not be visually verified. Diaphragm strengthening through the addition of new cross ties between diaphragm chords or the addition of strap plates to connect existing roof framing members together may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
Straight Sheathing	All straight-sheathed diaphragms have aspect ratios less than 2-to-1 in the direction being considered. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.6.2; Commentary: Sec. A.4.2.1)		X			Straight-sheathed diaphragms have aspect ratios greater than 2-to-1. Diaphragm strengthening, including the addition of wood structural panel sheathing, may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
Spans	All wood diaphragms with spans greater than 24 ft (7.3 m) consist of wood structural panels or diagonal sheathing. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.6.2; Commentary: Sec. A.4.2.2)		X			Wood diaphragms do not consist of wood structural panels or diagonal sheathing. Installation of wood structural panels may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
Diagonally Sheathed and Unblocked Diaphragms	All diagonally sheathed or unblocked wood structural panel diaphragms have horizontal spans less than 40 ft (12.2 m) and aspect ratios less than or equal to 4 to-1. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.6.2; Commentary: Sec. A.4.2.3)			X		
Other Diaphragms	The diaphragms do not consist of a system other than wood, metal deck, concrete, or horizontal bracing. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.6.5; Commentary: Sec. A.4.7.1)			X		

Connections

EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	C	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
Stiffness of Wall Anchors	Anchors of concrete or masonry walls to wood structural elements are installed taut and are stiff enough to limit the relative movement between the wall and the diaphragm to no greater than 1/8 in. before engagement of the anchors. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.7.1.2; Commentary: Sec. A.5.1.4)				X	This evaluation item is unknown and could not be visually verified. This item requires further field investigation to make a final determination on its compliance and to develop a mitigation recommendation, if necessary.
Beam, Girder, and Truss Supports	Beams, girders, and trusses supported by unreinforced masonry walls or pilasters have independent secondary columns for support of vertical loads. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.7.4.4; Commentary: Sec. A.5.4.5)		X			Beams, girders, and trusses supported by URM walls or pilasters do not have independent secondary columns for support of vertical loads. The addition of secondary support is required.

Centerville, Centerville Elementary School, Main Building

17-38 Nonstructural Checklist

Notes:

C = Compliant, NC = Noncompliant, N/A = Not Applicable, and U = Unknown.

Performance Level: HR = Hazards Reduced, LS = Life Safety, and PR = Position Retention.

Level of Seismicity: L = Low, M = Moderate, and H = High

Life Safety Systems

EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	C	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
LSS-1 Fire Suppression Piping. HR-not required; LS-LMH; PR-LMH.	Fire suppression piping is anchored and braced in accordance with NFPA-13. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.4; Commentary: Sec. A.7.13.1)			X		No fire sprinklers
LSS-2 Flexible Couplings. HR-not required; LS-LMH; PR-LMH.	Fire suppression piping has flexible couplings in accordance with NFPA-13. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.4; Commentary: Sec. A.7.13.2)			X		No fire sprinklers
LSS-3 Emergency Power. HR-not required; LS-LMH; PR-LMH.	Equipment used to power or control Life Safety systems is anchored or braced. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.7; Commentary: Sec. A.7.12.1)			X		No emergency generator
LSS-4 Stair and Smoke Ducts. HR-not required; LS-LMH; PR-LMH.	Stair pressurization and smoke control ducts are braced and have flexible connections at seismic joints. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.6; Commentary: Sec. A.7.14.1)			X		No stair and smoke ducts
LSS-5 Sprinkler Ceiling Clearance. HR-not required; LS-MH; PR-MH.	Penetrations through panelized ceilings for fire suppression devices provide clearances in accordance with NFPA-13. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.4; Commentary: Sec. A.7.13.3)			X		No fire sprinklers
LSS-6 Emergency Lighting. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-LMH	Emergency and egress lighting equipment is anchored or braced. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.9; Commentary: Sec. A.7.3.1)			X		Non-applicable due to ASCE 41 Performance Level: "Life Safety (LS)"

Hazardous Materials

EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	C	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
HM-1 Hazardous Material Equipment. HR-LMH; LS-LMH; PR-LMH.	Equipment mounted on vibration isolators and containing hazardous material is equipped with restraints or snubbers. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.1; Commentary: Sec. A.7.12.2)			X		No hazardous material equipment
HM-2 Hazardous Material Storage. HR-LMH; LS-LMH; PR-LMH.	Breakable containers that hold hazardous material, including gas cylinders, are restrained by latched doors, shelf lips, wires, or other methods. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.8.3; Commentary: Sec. A.7.15.1)			X		No hazardous material storage
HM-3 Hazardous Material Distribution. HR-MH; LS-MH; PR-MH.	Piping or ductwork conveying hazardous materials is braced or otherwise protected from damage that would allow hazardous material release. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.3, 13.7.5; Commentary: Sec. A.7.13.4)			X		No hazardous material distribution

HM-4 Shutoff Valves. HR-MH; LS-MH; PR-MH.	Piping containing hazardous material, including natural gas, has shutoff valves or other devices to limit spills or leaks. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.3, 13.7.5; Commentary: Sec. A.7.13.3)			X		No hazardous material piping
HM-5 Flexible Couplings. HR-LMH; LS-LMH; PR-LMH.	Hazardous material ductwork and piping, including natural gas piping, have flexible couplings. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.3, 13.7.5; Commentary: Sec. A.7.15.4)			X		No hazardous material distribution
HM-6 Piping or Ducts Crossing Seismic Joints. HR-MH; LS-MH; PR-MH.	Piping or ductwork carrying hazardous material that either crosses seismic joints or isolation planes or is connected to independent structures has couplings or other details to accommodate the relative seismic displacements. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.3, 13.7.5, 13.7.6; Commentary: Sec. A.7.13.6)			X		No hazardous material distribution or seismic joints

Partitions

EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	C	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
P-1 Unreinforced Masonry. HR-LMH; LS-LMH; PR-LMH.	Unreinforced masonry or hollow-clay tile partitions are braced at a spacing of at most 10 ft (3.0 m) in Low or Moderate Seismicity, or at most 6 ft (1.8 m) in High Seismicity. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.2; Commentary: Sec. A.7.1.1)			X		No unreinforced masonry or hollow-clay tile partitions observed
P-2 Heavy Partitions Supported by Ceilings. HR-LMH; LS-LMH; PR-LMH.	The tops of masonry or hollow-clay tile partitions are not laterally supported by an integrated ceiling system. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.2; Commentary: Sec. A.7.2.1)			X		No unreinforced masonry or hollow-clay tile partitions observed
P-3 Drift. HR-not required; LS-MH; PR-MH.	Rigid cementitious partitions are detailed to accommodate the following drift ratios: in steel moment frame, concrete moment frame, and wood frame buildings, 0.02; in other buildings, 0.005. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.2; Commentary: Sec. A.7.1.2)			X		No rigid cementitious partitions observed
P-4 Light Partitions Supported by Ceilings. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-MH.	The tops of gypsum board partitions are not laterally supported by an integrated ceiling system. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.2; Commentary: Sec. A.7.2.1)			X		Non-applicable due to ASCE 41 Performance Level: "Life Safety (LS)"
P-5 Structural Separations. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-MH.	Partitions that cross structural separations have seismic or control joints. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.2; Commentary: Sec. A.7.1.3)			X		Non-applicable due to ASCE 41 Performance Level: "Life Safety (LS)"
P-6 Tops. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-MH.	The tops of ceiling-high framed or panelized partitions have lateral bracing to the structure at a spacing equal to or less than 6 ft (1.8 m). (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.2; Commentary: Sec. A.7.1.4)			X		Non-applicable due to ASCE 41 Performance Level: "Life Safety (LS)"

Ceilings

EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	C	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
C-1 Suspended Lath and Plaster. HR-H; LS-MH; PR-LMH.	Suspended lath and plaster ceilings have attachments that resist seismic forces for every 12 ft ² (1.1 m ²) of area. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.4; Commentary: Sec. A.7.2.3)				X	Requires further investigation and selective demolition to investigate
C-2 Suspended Gypsum Board. HR-not required; LS-MH; PR-LMH.	Suspended gypsum board ceilings have attachments that resist seismic forces for every 12 ft ² (1.1 m ²) of area. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.4; Commentary: Sec. A.7.2.3)				X	Requires further investigation and selective demolition to investigate
C-3 Integrated Ceilings. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-MH.	Integrated suspended ceilings with continuous areas greater than 144 ft ² (13.4 m ²) and ceilings of smaller areas that are not surrounded by restraining partitions are laterally restrained at a spacing no greater than 12 ft (3.6 m) with members attached to the structure above. Each restraint location has a minimum of four diagonal wires and compression struts, or diagonal members capable of resisting compression. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.4; Commentary: Sec. A.7.2.2)			X		Non-applicable due to ASCE 41 Performance Level: "Life Safety (LS)"
C-4 Edge Clearance. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-MH.	The free edges of integrated suspended ceilings with continuous areas greater than 144 ft ² (13.4 m ²) have clearances from the enclosing wall or partition of at least the following: in Moderate Seismicity, 1/2 in. (13 mm); in High Seismicity, 3/4 in. (19 mm). (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.4; Commentary: Sec. A.7.2.4)			X		Non-applicable due to ASCE 41 Performance Level: "Life Safety (LS)"
C-5 Continuity Across Structure Joints. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-MH.	The ceiling system does not cross any seismic joint and is not attached to multiple independent structures. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.4; Commentary: Sec. A.7.2.5)			X		Non-applicable due to ASCE 41 Performance Level: "Life Safety (LS)"
C-6 Edge Support. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-H.	The free edges of integrated suspended ceilings with continuous areas greater than 144 ft ² (13.4 m ²) are supported by closure angles or channels not less than 2 in. (51 mm) wide. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.4 ; Commentary: Sec. A.7.2.6)			X		Non-applicable due to ASCE 41 Performance Level: "Life Safety (LS)"
C-7 Seismic Joints. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-H.	Acoustical tile or lay-in panel ceilings have seismic separation joints such that each continuous portion of the ceiling is no more than 2,500 ft ² (232.3 m ²) and has a ratio of long-to-short dimension no more than 4-to-1. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.4; Commentary: Sec. A.7.2.7)			X		Non-applicable due to ASCE 41 Performance Level: "Life Safety (LS)"

Light Fixtures

EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	C	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
LF-1 Independent Support. HR-not required; LS-MH; PR-MH.	Light fixtures that weigh more per square foot than the ceiling they penetrate are supported independent of the grid ceiling suspension system by a minimum of two wires at diagonally opposite corners of each fixture. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.4, 13.7.9; Commentary: Sec. A.7.3.2)				X	Direct connection of support wires to structure could not be visually verified. Further investigation is required. Could be performed by district maintenance staff.
LF-2 Pendant Supports. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-H.	Light fixtures on pendant supports are attached at a spacing equal to or less than 6 ft. Unbraced suspended fixtures are free to allow a 360-degree range of motion at an angle not less than 45 degrees from horizontal without contacting adjacent components. Alternatively, if rigidly supported and/or braced, they are free to move with the structure to which they are attached without damaging adjoining components. Additionally, the connection to the structure is capable of accommodating the movement without failure. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.9; Commentary: Sec. A.7.3.3)			X		Non-applicable due to ASCE 41 Performance Level: "Life Safety (LS)"
LF-3 Lens Covers. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-H.	Lens covers on light fixtures are attached with safety devices. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.9; Commentary: Sec. A.7.3.4)			X		Non-applicable due to ASCE 41 Performance Level: "Life Safety (LS)"

Cladding and Glazing

EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	C	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
CG-1 Cladding Anchors. HR-MH; LS-MH; PR-MH.	Cladding components weighing more than 10 lb/ft ² (0.48 kN/m ²) are mechanically anchored to the structure at a spacing equal to or less than the following: for Life Safety in Moderate Seismicity, 6 ft (1.8 m); for Life Safety in High Seismicity and for Position Retention in any seismicity, 4 ft (1.2 m) (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.1; Commentary: Sec. A.7.4.1)			X		No heavy cladding observed
CG-2 Cladding Isolation. HR-not required; LS-MH; PR-MH.	For steel or concrete moment-frame buildings, panel connections are detailed to accommodate a story drift ratio by the use of rods attached to framing with oversize holes or slotted holes of at least the following: for Life Safety in Moderate Seismicity, 0.01; for Life Safety in High Seismicity and for Position Retention in any seismicity, 0.02, and the rods have a length-to-diameter ratio of 4.0 or less. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.1; Commentary: Sec. A.7.4.3)			X		No cladding panels

CG-3 Multi-Story Panels. HR-MH; LS-MH; PR-MH.	For multi-story panels attached at more than one floor level, panel connections are detailed to accommodate a story drift ratio by the use of rods attached to framing with oversize holes or slotted holes of at least the following: for Life Safety in Moderate Seismicity, 0.01; for Life Safety in High Seismicity and for Position Retention in any seismicity, 0.02, and the rods have a length-to-diameter ratio of 4.0 or less. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.1; Commentary: Sec. A.7.4.4)			X		No cladding panels
CG-4 Threaded Rods. HR-not required; LS-MH; PR-MH.	Threaded rods for panel connections detailed to accommodate drift by bending of the rod have a length-to-diameter ratio greater than 0.06 times the story height in inches for Life Safety in Moderate Seismicity and 0.12 times the story height in inches for Life Safety in High Seismicity and Position Retention in any seismicity. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.1; Commentary: Sec. A.7.4.9)			X		No cladding panels
CG-5 Panel Connections. HR-MH; LS-MH; PR-MH.	Cladding panels are anchored out of plane with a minimum number of connections for each wall panel, as follows: for Life Safety in Moderate Seismicity, 2 connections; for Life Safety in High Seismicity and for Position Retention in any seismicity, 4 connections. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.1.4; Commentary: Sec. A.7.4.5)			X		No cladding panels
CG-6 Bearing Connections. HR-MH; LS-MH; PR-MH.	Where bearing connections are used, there is a minimum of two bearing connections for each cladding panel. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.1.4; Commentary: Sec. A.7.4.6)			X		No cladding panels
CG-7 Inserts. HR-MH; LS-MH; PR-MH.	Where concrete cladding components use inserts, the inserts have positive anchorage or are anchored to reinforcing steel. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.1.4; Commentary: Sec. A.7.4.7)			X		No cladding panels
CG-8 Overhead Glazing. HR-not required; LS-MH; PR-MH.	Glazing panes of any size in curtain walls and individual interior or exterior panes more than 16 ft ² (1.5 m ²) in area are laminated annealed or laminated heat-strengthened glass and are detailed to remain in the frame when cracked. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.1.5; Commentary: Sec. A.7.4.8)			X		No individual or overhead glazing panels that exceed 16 square feet were observed.

Masonry Veneer

EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	C	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
M-1 Ties. HR-not required; LS-LMH; PR-LMH.	Masonry veneer is connected to the backup with corrosion-resistant ties. There is a minimum of one tie for every 2-2/3 ft ² (0.25 m ²), and the ties have spacing no greater than the following: for Life Safety in Low or Moderate Seismicity, 36 in. (914 mm); for Life Safety in High Seismicity and for Position Retention in any seismicity, 24 in. (610 mm). (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.1.2; Commentary: Sec. A.7.5.1)			X		No masonry veneer
M-2 Shelf Angles. HR-not required; LS-LMH; PR-LMH.	Masonry veneer is supported by shelf angles or other elements at each floor above the ground floor. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.1.2; Commentary: Sec. A.7.5.2)			X		No masonry veneer
M-3 Weakened Planes. HR-not required; LS-LMH; PR-LMH.	Masonry veneer is anchored to the backup adjacent to weakened planes, such as at the locations of flashing. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.1.2; Commentary: Sec. A.7.5.3)			X		No masonry veneer
M-4 Unreinforced Masonry Backup. HR-LMH; LS-LMH; PR-LMH.	There is no unreinforced masonry backup. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.1.1, 13.6.1.2; Commentary: Sec. A.7.7.2)			X		No masonry veneer
M-5 Stud Tracks. HR-not required; LS-MH; PR-MH.	For veneer with coldformed steel stud backup, stud tracks are fastened to the structure at a spacing equal to or less than 24 in. (610 mm) on center. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.1.1, 13.6.1.2; Commentary: Sec. A.7.6.)			X		No masonry veneer
M-6 Anchorage. HR-not required; LS-MH; PR-MH.	For veneer with concrete block or masonry backup, the backup is positively anchored to the structure at a horizontal spacing equal to or less than 4 ft along the floors and roof. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.1.1, 13.6.1.2; Commentary: Sec. A.7.7.1)			X		No masonry veneer
M-7 Weep Holes. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-MH.	In veneer anchored to stud walls, the veneer has functioning weep holes and base flashing. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.1.2; Commentary: Sec. A.7.5.6)			X		No masonry veneer
M-8 Openings. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-MH.	For veneer with cold-formed-steel stud backup, steel studs frame window and door openings. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.1.1, 13.6.1.2; Commentary: Sec. A.7.6.2)			X		No masonry veneer

Parapets, Cornices, Ornamentation, and Appendages

EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	C	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
PCOA-1 URM Parapets or Cornices. HR-LMH; LS-LMH; PR-LMH.	Laterally unsupported unreinforced masonry parapets or cornices have height-to-thickness ratios no greater than the following: for Life Safety in Low or Moderate Seismicity, 2.5; for Life Safety in High Seismicity and for Position Retention in any seismicity, 1.5. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.5; Commentary: Sec. A.7.8.1)		X			Unreinforced masonry parapet is laterally unsupported and has height-to-thickness ratio greater than 2.5. Installation of lateral braces for URM parapet is recommended.
PCOA-2 Canopies. HR-not required; LS-LMH; PR-LMH.	Canopies at building exits are anchored to the structure at a spacing no greater than the following: for Life Safety in Low or Moderate Seismicity, 10 ft (3.0 m); for Life Safety in High Seismicity and for Position Retention in any seismicity, 6 ft (1.8 m). (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.6; Commentary: Sec. A.7.8.2)			X		No canopies
PCOA-3 Concrete Parapets. HR-H; LS-MH; PR-LMH.	Concrete parapets with height-to-thickness ratios greater than 2.5 have vertical reinforcement. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.5; Commentary: Sec. A.7.8.3)			X		No concrete parapets
PCOA-4 Appendages. HR-MH; LS-MH; PR-LMH.	Cornices, parapets, signs, and other ornamentation or appendages that extend above the highest point of anchorage to the structure or cantilever from components are reinforced and anchored to the structural system at a spacing equal to or less than 6 ft (1.8 m). This evaluation statement item does not apply to parapets or cornices covered by other evaluation statements. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.6; Commentary: Sec. A.7.8.4)			X		No appendages

Masonry Chimneys

EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	C	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
MC-1 URM Chimneys. HR-LMH; LS-LMH; PR-LMH.	Unreinforced masonry chimneys extend above the roof surface no more than the following: for Life Safety in Low or Moderate Seismicity, 3 times the least dimension of the chimney; for Life Safety in High Seismicity and for Position Retention in any seismicity, 2 times the least dimension of the chimney. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.7; Commentary: Sec. A.7.9.1)		X			Masonry chimney near playground is approximately 20 feet away from building and could collapse on building. Requires further investigation and possibly demolition.
MC-2 Anchorage. HR-LMH; LS-LMH; PR-LMH.	Masonry chimneys are anchored at each floor level, at the topmost ceiling level, and at the roof. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.7; Commentary: Sec. A.7.9.2)		X			Masonry chimney near playground

Stairs

EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	C	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
S-1 Stair Enclosures. HR-not required; LS-LMH; PR-LMH.	Hollow-clay tile or unreinforced masonry walls around stair enclosures are restrained out of plane and have height-to-thickness ratios not greater than the following: for Life Safety in Low or Moderate Seismicity, 15-to-1; for Life Safety in High Seismicity and for Position Retention in any seismicity, 12-to-1. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.2, 13.6.8; Commentary: Sec. A.7.10.1)		X			Unreinforced masonry walls around stair enclosure are not restrained for out-of-plane loading. URM should be strongbacked, FRP reinforced, or removed.
S-2 Stair Details. HR-not required; LS-LMH; PR-LMH.	The connection between the stairs and the structure does not rely on post-installed anchors in concrete or masonry, and the stair details are capable of accommodating the drift calculated using the Quick Check procedure of Section 4.4.3.1 for moment-frame structures or 0.5 in. for all other structures without including any lateral stiffness contribution from the stairs. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.8; Commentary: Sec. A.7.10.2)				X	Requires further investigation

Contents and Furnishings

EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	C	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
CF-1 Industrial Storage Racks. HR-LMH; LS-MH; PR-MH.	Industrial storage racks or pallet racks more than 12 ft high meet the requirements of ANSI/RMI MH 16.1 as modified by ASCE 7, Chapter 15. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.8.1; Commentary: Sec. A.7.11.1)			X		No industrial storage racks
CF-2 Tall Narrow Contents. HR-not required; LS-H; PR-MH.	Contents more than 6 ft (1.8 m) high with a height-to-depth or height-to-width ratio greater than 3-to-1 are anchored to the structure or to each other. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.8.2; Commentary: Sec. A.7.11.2)		X			Anchorage is required for tall narrow contents more than six feet high to provide overturning restraint.
CF-3 Fall-Prone Contents. HR-not required; LS-H; PR-H.	Equipment, stored items, or other contents weighing more than 20 lb (9.1 kg) whose center of mass is more than 4 ft (1.2 m) above the adjacent floor level are braced or otherwise restrained. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.8.2; Commentary: Sec. A.7.11.3)			X		
CF-4 Access Floors. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-MH.	Access floors more than 9 in. (229 mm) high are braced. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.10; Commentary: Sec. A.7.11.4)			X		Non-applicable due to ASCE 41 Performance Level: "Life Safety (LS)"
CF-5 Equipment on Access Floors. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-MH.	Equipment and other contents supported by access floor systems are anchored or braced to the structure independent of the access floor. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.7 13.6.10; Commentary: Sec. A.7.11.5)			X		Non-applicable due to ASCE 41 Performance Level: "Life Safety (LS)"

CF-6 Suspended Contents. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-H.	Items suspended without lateral bracing are free to swing from or move with the structure from which they are suspended without damaging themselves or adjoining components. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.8.2; Commentary: Sec. A.7.11.6)			X		Non-applicable due to ASCE 41 Performance Level: "Life Safety (LS)"
--	---	--	--	---	--	---

Mechanical and Electrical Equipment

EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	C	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
ME-1 Fall-Prone Equipment. HR-not required; LS-H; PR-H.	Equipment weighing more than 20 lb (9.1 kg) whose center of mass is more than 4 ft (1.2 m) above the adjacent floor level, and which is not in-line equipment, is braced. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.1 13.7.7; Commentary: Sec. A.7.12.4)			X		
ME-2 In-Line Equipment. HR-not required; LS-H; PR-H.	Equipment installed in line with a duct or piping system, with an operating weight more than 75 lb (34.0 kg), is supported and laterally braced independent of the duct or piping system. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.1; Commentary: Sec. A.7.12.5)				X	This evaluation item could not be visually verified. Further investigation is required to review vertical support and lateral bracing of equipment.
ME-3 Tall Narrow Equipment. HR-not required; LS-H; PR-MH.	Equipment more than 6 ft (1.8 m) high with a height-to-depth or height-to-width ratio greater than 3-to-1 is anchored to the floor slab or adjacent structural walls. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.1 13.7.7; Commentary: Sec. A.7.12.6)		X			Anchorage is required for tall narrow equipment more than six feet high to provide overturning restraint.
ME-4 Mechanical Doors. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-MH.	Mechanically operated doors are detailed to operate at a story drift ratio of 0.01. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.9; Commentary: Sec. A.7.12.7)			X		Non-applicable due to ASCE 41 Performance Level: "Life Safety (LS)"
ME-5 Suspended Equipment. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-H.	Equipment suspended without lateral bracing is free to swing from or move with the structure from which it is suspended without damaging itself or adjoining components. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.1, 13.7.7; Commentary: Sec. A.7.12.8)			X		Non-applicable due to ASCE 41 Performance Level: "Life Safety (LS)"
ME-6 Vibration Isolators. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-H.	Equipment mounted on vibration isolators is equipped with horizontal restraints or snubbers and with vertical restraints to resist overturning. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.1; Commentary: Sec. A.7.12.9)			X		Non-applicable due to ASCE 41 Performance Level: "Life Safety (LS)"
ME-7 Heavy Equipment. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-H.	Floor supported or platform-supported equipment weighing more than 400 lb (181.4 kg) is anchored to the structure. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.1, 13.7.7; Commentary: Sec. A.7.12.10)			X		Non-applicable due to ASCE 41 Performance Level: "Life Safety (LS)"
ME-8 Electrical Equipment. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-H.	Electrical equipment is laterally braced to the structure. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.7; Commentary: Sec. A.7.12.11)			X		Non-applicable due to ASCE 41 Performance Level: "Life Safety (LS)"

ME-9 Conduit Couplings. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-H.	Conduit greater than 2.5 in. (64 mm) trade size that is attached to panels, cabinets, or other equipment and is subject to relative seismic displacement has flexible couplings or connections. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.8; Commentary: Sec. A.7.12.12)			X		Non-applicable due to ASCE 41 Performance Level: "Life Safety (LS)"
---	---	--	--	---	--	---

Piping

EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	C	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
PP-1 Flexible Couplings. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-H.	Fluid and gas piping has flexible couplings. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.3, 13.7.5; Commentary: Sec. A.7.13.2)			X		Non-applicable due to ASCE 41 Performance Level: "Life Safety (LS)"
PP-2 Fluid and Gas Piping. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-H.	Fluid and gas piping is anchored and braced to the structure to limit spills or leaks. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.3, 13.7.5; Commentary: Sec. A.7.13.4)			X		Non-applicable due to ASCE 41 Performance Level: "Life Safety (LS)"
PP-3 C-Clamps. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-H.	One-sided C-clamps that support piping larger than 2.5 in. (64 mm) in diameter are restrained. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.3, 13.7.5; Commentary: Sec. A.7.13.5)			X		Non-applicable due to ASCE 41 Performance Level: "Life Safety (LS)"
PP-4 Piping Crossing Seismic Joints. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-H.	Piping that crosses seismic joints or isolation planes or is connected to independent structures has couplings or other details to accommodate the relative seismic displacements. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.3, 13.7.5; Commentary: Sec. A.7.13.6)			X		Non-applicable due to ASCE 41 Performance Level: "Life Safety (LS)"

Ducts

EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	C	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
D-1 Duct Bracing. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-H.	Rectangular ductwork larger than 6 ft ² (0.56 m ²) in cross-sectional area and round ducts larger than 28 in. (711 mm) in diameter are braced. The maximum spacing of transverse bracing does not exceed 30 ft (9.2 m). The maximum spacing of longitudinal bracing does not exceed 60 ft (18.3 m). (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.6; Commentary: Sec. A.7.14.2)			X		Non-applicable due to ASCE 41 Performance Level: "Life Safety (LS)"
D-2 Duct Support. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-H.	Ducts are not supported by piping or electrical conduit. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.6; Commentary: Sec. A.7.14.3)			X		Non-applicable due to ASCE 41 Performance Level: "Life Safety (LS)"
D-3 Ducts Crossing Seismic Joints. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-H.	Ducts that cross seismic joints or isolation planes or are connected to independent structures have couplings or other details to accommodate the relative seismic displacements. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.6; Commentary: Sec. A.7.14.4)			X		Non-applicable due to ASCE 41 Performance Level: "Life Safety (LS)"

Elevators


EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	C	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
EL-1 Retainer Guards. HR-not required; LS-H; PR-H.	Sheaves and drums have cable retainer guards. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.11; Commentary: Sec. A.7.16.1)			X		No elevator

EL-2 Retainer Plate. HR-not required; LS-H; PR-H.	A retainer plate is present at the top and bottom of both car and counterweight. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.11; Commentary: Sec. A.7.16.2)			X		No elevator
EL-3 Elevator Equipment. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-H.	Equipment, piping, and other components that are part of the elevator system are anchored. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.11; Commentary: Sec. A.7.16.3)			X		No elevator
EL-4 Seismic Switch. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-H.	Elevators capable of operating at speeds of 150 ft/min or faster are equipped with seismic switches that meet the requirements of ASME A17.1 or have trigger levels set to 20% of the acceleration of gravity at the base of the structure and 50% of the acceleration of gravity in other locations. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.11; Commentary: Sec. A.7.16.4)			X		No elevator
EL-5 Shaft Walls. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-H.	Elevator shaft walls are anchored and reinforced to prevent toppling into the shaft during strong shaking. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.11; Commentary: Sec. A.7.16.5)			X		No elevator
EL-6 Counterweight Rails. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-H.	All counterweight rails and divider beams are sized in accordance with ASME A17.1. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.11; Commentary: Sec. A.7.16.6)			X		No elevator
EL-7 Brackets. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-H.	The brackets that tie the car rails and the counterweight rail to the structure are sized in accordance with ASME A17.1. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.11; Commentary: Sec. A.7.16.7)			X		No elevator
EL-8 Spreader Bracket. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-H.	Spreader brackets are not used to resist seismic forces. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.11; Commentary: Sec. A.7.16.8)			X		No elevator
EL-9 Go-Slow Elevators. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-H.	The building has a go-slow elevator system. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.11; Commentary: Sec. A.7.16.9)			X		No elevator

FEMA E-74 Nonstructural Seismic Bracing Excerpts

This page intentionally left blank.

Life Safety Systems



Note: for seismic design category D, E & F, the flexible sprinkler hose fitting must accommodate at least 1" of ceiling movement without use of an oversized opening. Alternatively, the sprinkler head must have a 2" oversize ring or adapter that allows 1" movement in all directions.

Figure G-1. Flexible Sprinkler Drop.

(FEMA E-74, 2012, *Reducing the Risks of Nonstructural Earthquake Damage*)




Figure G-2. End of Line Restraint.

(FEMA E-74, 2012, *Reducing the Risks of Nonstructural Earthquake Damage*)

Partitions




Figure G-3. Mitigation Schemes for Bracing the Tops of Metal Stud Partitions Walls.
(FEMA E-74, 2012, *Reducing the Risks of Nonstructural Earthquake Damage*)




Figure G-4. Mitigation Schemes for Bracing the Tops of Metal Stud Partition Walls.
(FEMA E-74, 2012, Reducing the Risks of Nonstructural Earthquake Damage)




Figure G-5. Full-height Glazed Partition.

(FEMA E-74, 2012, Reducing the Risks of Nonstructural Earthquake Damage)




Figure G-6. Full-height Heavy Partition.
(FEMA E-74, 2012, Reducing the Risks of Nonstructural Earthquake Damage)




Figure G-7. Typical Glass Block Panel Details.
(FEMA E-74, 2012, Reducing the Risks of Nonstructural Earthquake Damage)

Ceilings





Figure G-8. Suspension System for Acoustic Lay-in Panel Ceilings – Edge Conditions.
(FEMA E-74, 2012, Reducing the Risks of Nonstructural Earthquake Damage)



Note: Compression strut shall not replace hanger wire. Compression strut consists of a steel section attached to main runner with 2 - #12 sheet metal screws and to structure with 2 - #12 screws to wood or 1/4" min. expansion anchor to structure. Size of strut is dependent on distance between ceiling and structure ($l/r \leq 200$). A 1" diameter conduit can be used for up to 6'; a 1-5/8" X 1-1/4" metal stud can be used for up to 10'

Per DSA IR 25-5, ceiling areas less than 144 sq. ft. or fire rated ceilings less than 96 sq. ft., surrounded by walls braced to the structure above do not require lateral bracing assemblies when they are attached to two adjacent walls. (ASTM E580 does not require lateral bracing assemblies for ceilings less than 1000 sq. ft.; see text.)

Figure G-9. Suspension System for Acoustic Lay-in Panel Ceilings – General Bracing Assembly.
(FEMA E-74, 2012, *Reducing the Risks of Nonstructural Earthquake Damage*)




Figure G-10. Suspension System for Acoustic Lay-in Panel Ceilings – General Bracing Layout.
(FEMA E-74, 2012, Reducing the Risks of Nonstructural Earthquake Damage)






Figure G-11. Suspension System for Acoustic Lay-in Panel Ceilings – Overhead Attachment Details.

(FEMA E-74, 2012, Reducing the Risks of Nonstructural Earthquake Damage)



a) Gypsum board attached directly to ceiling joists



b) Gypsum board attached directly to furring strips (hat channel or similar)

Note: Commonly used details shown; no special seismic details are required as long as furring and gypboard secured. Check for certified assemblies (UL listed, FM approved, etc.) if fire or sound rating required.

Figure G-12. Gypsum Board Ceiling Applied Directly to Structure.
(FEMA E-74, 2012, *Reducing the Risks of Nonstructural Earthquake Damage*)




Figure G-13. Retrofit Detail for Existing Lath and Plaster.
(FEMA E-74, 2012, Reducing the Risks of Nonstructural Earthquake Damage)






Figure G-14. Diagrammatic View of Suspended Heavy Ceiling Grid and Lateral Bracing.
(FEMA E-74, 2012, *Reducing the Risks of Nonstructural Earthquake Damage*)




A-A Main Runner at Perimeter



B-B Cross Runner at Perimeter

Figure G-15. Perimeter Details for Suspended Gypsum Board Ceiling.
(FEMA E-74, 2012, Reducing the Risks of Nonstructural Earthquake Damage)



Note: Compression strut shall not replace hanger wire. Compression strut consists of a steel section attached to main runner with 2 - #12 sheet metal screws and to structure with 2 - #12 screws to wood or 1/4" min. expansion anchor to concrete. Size of strut is dependent on distance between ceiling and structure ($l/r \leq 200$). A 1" diameter conduit can be used for up to 6', a 1-5/8" X 1-1/4" metal stud can be used for up to 10'. See figure 6.3.4.1-6 for example of bracing assembly.

Figure G-16. Details for Lateral Bracing Assembly for Suspended Gypsum Board Ceiling.
(FEMA E-74, 2012, *Reducing the Risks of Nonstructural Earthquake Damage*)

Light Fixtures




Figure G-17. Recessed Light Fixture in suspended Ceiling (Fixture Weight < 10 pounds).
(FEMA E-74, 2012, Reducing the Risks of Nonstructural Earthquake Damage)




Figure G-18. Recessed Light Fixture in suspended Ceiling (Fixture Weight 10 to 56 pounds).
(FEMA E-74, 2012, Reducing the Risks of Nonstructural Earthquake Damage)

Contents and Furnishings




Figure G-19. Light Storage Racks.
(FEMA E-74, 2012, *Reducing the Risks of Nonstructural Earthquake Damage*)




Figure G-20. Industrial Storage Racks.
(FEMA E-74, 2012, Reducing the Risks of Nonstructural Earthquake Damage)




Figure G-21. Wall-mounted File Cabinets.
(FEMA E-74, 2012, Reducing the Risks of Nonstructural Earthquake Damage)





Figure G-22. Base Anchored File Cabinets.
(FEMA E-74, 2012, Reducing the Risks of Nonstructural Earthquake Damage)



Note: Engineering required for all permanent floor-supported cabinets or shelving over 6 feet tall. Details shown are adequate for typical shelving 6 feet or less in height.

Figure G-23. Anchorage of Freestanding Book Cases Arranged Back to Back.
(FEMA E-74, 2012, Reducing the Risks of Nonstructural Earthquake Damage)






Figure G-24. Desktop Computers and Accessories.
(FEMA E-74, 2012, Reducing the Risks of Nonstructural Earthquake Damage)




Cantilevered Access Floor Pedestal



Braced Access Floor Pedestal
(use for tall floors or where pedestals are not strong enough to resist seismic forces)


Note: For new floors in areas of high seismicity, purchase and install systems that meet the applicable code provisions for "special access floors."

Figure G-25. Equipment Mounted on Access Floor.
(FEMA E-74, 2012, *Reducing the Risks of Nonstructural Earthquake Damage*)




Equipment installed on an independent steel platform within a raised floor

Figure G-26. Equipment Mounted on Access Floor – Independent Base.
(FEMA E-74, 2012, Reducing the Risks of Nonstructural Earthquake Damage)



Equipment restrained with cables beneath a raised floor


Figure G-27. Equipment Mounted on Access Floor – Cable Braced.
(FEMA E-74, 2012, Reducing the Risks of Nonstructural Earthquake Damage)



Equipment anchored with vertical rods beneath a raised floor


Figure G-28. Equipment Mounted on Access Floor – Tie-down Rods.
(FEMA E-74, 2012, Reducing the Risks of Nonstructural Earthquake Damage)

Mechanical and Electrical Equipment




Note: Rigidly mounted equipment shall have flexible connections for the fuel lines and piping.


Figure G-29. Rigidly Floor-mounted Equipment with Added Angles.
(FEMA E-74, 2012, *Reducing the Risks of Nonstructural Earthquake Damage*)



Supplemental base with restrained spring isolators



Supplemental base with open springs and all-directional snubbers



Supplemental base with open springs and one-directional snubbers

Figure G-30. HVAC Equipment with Vibration Isolation.
(FEMA E-74, 2012, Reducing the Risks of Nonstructural Earthquake Damage)




Figure G-31. Rooftop HVAC Equipment.

(FEMA E-74, 2012, Reducing the Risks of Nonstructural Earthquake Damage)




Figure G-32. Suspended Equipment.
(FEMA E-74, 2012, Reducing the Risks of Nonstructural Earthquake Damage)




Figure G-33. Water Heater Strapping to Backing Wall.
(FEMA E-74, 2012, Reducing the Risks of Nonstructural Earthquake Damage)




Figure G-34. Water Heater – Strapping at Corner Installation.
(FEMA E-74, 2012, Reducing the Risks of Nonstructural Earthquake Damage)




Figure G-35. Water Heater – Base Mounted.
(FEMA E-74, 2012, Reducing the Risks of Nonstructural Earthquake Damage)




Figure G-36. Rigid Bracing – Single Pipe Transverse.
(FEMA E-74, 2012, Reducing the Risks of Nonstructural Earthquake Damage)




Figure G-37. Cable Bracing – Single Pipe Transverse.
(FEMA E-74, 2012, Reducing the Risks of Nonstructural Earthquake Damage)

Electrical and Communications




Figure G-38. Electrical Control Panels, Motor Controls Centers, or Switchgear.
(FEMA E-74, 2012, *Reducing the Risks of Nonstructural Earthquake Damage*)




Figure G-39. Freestanding and Wall-mounted Electrical Control Panels, Motor Controls Centers, or Switchgear.
(FEMA E-74, 2012, Reducing the Risks of Nonstructural Earthquake Damage)




Figure G-40. Emergency Generator.
(FEMA E-74, 2012, Reducing the Risks of Nonstructural Earthquake Damage)